

Our country needs all our help.

There is a case in the Supreme Court that seemingly miraculously they have agreed to go to conference on January 6, 2023. If they rule in favor of the plaintiffs it would overturn the 2020 election. This would not be due to fraud, but because 377 out of 532 legislators failed to uphold their oath and investigate allegations of fraud brought up by 147 of their fellow legislators on January 6, 2020, which they were bound by the constitution to do.

**CASE SUMMARY** (Link to full Case Trail <https://ralandbrunson.com/>)

Four brothers in Utah, Loy, Raland, Deron, and Gaynor Brunson witnessed what they believed to be the theft of the 2020 election and decided to file suit. The novel approach they took was not to make a formal complaint that the election was stolen; instead, they chose to sue members of the U.S. Congress who voted NOT to investigate whether any election irregularities may have occurred that could have affected the outcome. By not doing so, these elected officials broke their oaths to protect the Constitution of the United States.

To support and defend the Constitution, an investigation into possible fraud needed to take place. Otherwise, how could anyone know with certainty whether the election had been secure?

ONLY 147 members of the US Congress voted in support of the proposed ten-day audit of the election before certifying the ballot count of the Electoral College, while 377 members voted against the proposed ten-day investigation, and eight abstained.

### **Supreme Court Docket No. 22-380**

Over two years in the process and by going through the required state courts, one of the two of the same cases brought by Loy and Raland — Raland J. Brunson, Petitioner v. Alma S. Adams, et al. — ended up on the docket of the Supreme Court. (Note they did this without legal representation as no lawyer would help them without charging huge fees.)

The "Questions Presented" section, in Raland J. Brunson's Petition for a Writ of Certiorari, goes like this:

“A serious conflict exists between decisions rendered from this Court and lower appeal courts, along with constitutional provisions and statutes, in deciding whether the trial court has jurisdiction to try the merits of this case.

This case uncovers a serious national security breach that is unique and is of first impression, and due to the serious nature of this case it involves the possible removal of a sitting President and Vice President of the United States along with members of the United States Congress, while deeming them unfit from ever holding office under Federal, State, County or local Governments found within the United States of America, and at the same time the trial court also has the authority, to be validated by this Court, to authorize the swearing in of the legal and rightful heirs for President and Vice President of the United States.

In addition, there are two doctrines that conflict with each other found in this case affecting every court in this country. These doctrines are known as the doctrine of equitable maxim and the doctrine of the object principle of justice. Equitable maxim created by this court, which the lower court used to dismiss this case, sets in direct violation of the object principle of justice also partially created by this Court and supported by other appeal courts and constitutional provisions.

These conflicts call for the supervisory power of this Court to resolve these conflicts, which has not, but should be, settled by this Court without delay.

The petition asks the Supreme Court to grant relief, not only to the Brunson Brothers, but to millions who are weary of being characterized as "election deniers" simply for wanting investigations to take place."

NOTE: The remedy per constitution is to remove all 377 who voted against, and per law they'd be barred from ever holding office again and get a \$10K fine. Apparently, a holdover from old times where \$10K was a significant amount of money.

There has been a request by Juan O Savin (an active member of the Patriot community) and Loy Brunson to flood SCOTUS with letters of support for them to upholding the constitution and rule in favor of Brunson and the electorate in the RE: Brunson v. Alma S. Adams et al No.: 22-380 case.

Link to SCOTUS Docket

<https://www.supremecourt.gov/search.aspx?filename=/docket/docketfiles/html/public/22-380.html>

Link to Video Interview with Loy Brunson and Kerry Cassidy – a Breach of National Security

<https://projectcamelotportal.com/2022/11/27/interview-monday-3pm-pt-loy-raland-brunson/>

Link to the Raland J Brunson Federal Lawsuit - Digital Download

<https://7discoveries.com/>

## **TEMPLATE AND ADDRESSES TO WRITE TO THE SUPREME COURT**

To the United States Supreme Court,

This letter is to express my support of the petition RE: Brunson v. Alma S. Adams et al No.: 22-380

I am concerned that the United States has experienced a national security breach and a violation of every citizen's greatest power in a Republic: voting. I ask that you stand against the adherence of foreign and domestic enemies and uphold the supreme law of the land by granting this petition. You truly are in a position that represents a court system greater than the world has ever seen.

I, along with many others, seem to be witnessing our nation being captured and I am left to wonder if it might be by some of these very respondents. I pray for the right and just outcome and I am grateful for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

PS (ADD A PERSONAL NOTE) EX: I am grateful for your courage to overturn Roe v. Wade and standing up for the assault on our constitution with this case.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Send the original to the Supreme Court. Hand written is best if not make sure you sign and date it.
2. PLEASE mail a copy to the Brunson brothers. This is critical so they can validate the amount of letters the Supreme Court is receiving AND if you can also include a \$1.00 to cover their expenses.
3. On the back flap of our envelope indicate who you heard about this effort from. What Patriot Channel, person or site and what country and state you are in.

**ADDRESS FOR THE SUPREME COURT:**

Supreme Court of the United States  
Attn: Chief Justice Roberts, Jr. and Associate Justices Thomas, Sotomayor, Alito, Jr., Kagan,  
Barrett, Gorsuch, Kavanaugh, Jackson  
1 First Street NE  
Washington, DC 20543

RE: Brunson v. Alma S. Adams et al No.: 22-380

**ADDRESS FOR BRUNSONS:**

Loy & Raland Brunson  
4287 South Harrison Blvd.  
Apt. 132  
Ogden, Utah 84403

RE: Brunson v. Alma S. Adams et al No.: 22-380