
- <u>Home</u>
- "Why willyloman"?
- 911 Videos
- About willyloman
- Contact Lists
- Lighten Up Francis
- Prescription for a Free Iraq
- THE PHANTOM FUGITIVE



American Everyman

Entries RSS | Comments RSS | Search

•

December 2010

 $\mbox{\bf M} \ \mbox{\bf T} \ \mbox{\bf W} \ \mbox{\bf T} \ \mbox{\bf F} \ \mbox{\bf S} \ \mbox{\bf S}$

<u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>3</u> 4 <u>5</u>

<u>6</u> <u>7</u> <u>8</u> <u>9</u> <u>10</u> <u>11</u> 12

<u>13</u> 14 15 16 17 18 19

20 21 22 23 24 25 26

27 28 29 30 31

« Nov

Blog Stats

o 944,436 hits

Archives

Select Month ▼

Categories

- o <u>001 911 Writing</u>
- o 002 Scott's 911 Writing
- o 1984 Revisited
- o <u>911 investigations</u>
- o 911 Scholarly Articles
- o <u>activism</u>
- o Afghan Minerals
- Afghanistan
- AIG Heist
- o <u>AlPAC</u>
- o <u>alex jones</u>
- o Alex Jones is a Fraud
- o Alvin Greene
- o Arundhati Roy

- Banking Heist
- o BP oil Spill in Gulf
- o building 7
- o <u>Burson-Marsteller</u>
- o Campaign 2008
- o <u>Castro</u>
- o Chris Hedges
- o CIA are the Real Terrorists
- o class warfare
- o Coup in Ecuador
- o Dark Green SUV
- o David Ray Griffin
- o <u>democracy</u>
- o dennis kucinich
- o disaster capitalism
- o Disinfo
- o Economic Crisis ie. Disaster Capitalism
- o <u>economic terrorism</u>
- o Electronic Voting Scams
- o <u>Elena Kagan</u>
- o Fake Banker Pay Limits
- o Fake Banking Reform
- Fake Democratic Elections
- Fake War on Drugs
- o fake war on terror
- o <u>Flaming Religion</u>
- o <u>Free Gaza</u>
- o Ft. Hood
- o Fuck Obama
- o G20 Protests
- Geithner
- o get to know a sellout
- o Glenn Greenwald
- Global Free Market Wars
- Global Warming Scam
- o Globalization
- o Goldstone Report
- o <u>Hacking Democracy</u>
- o Healthcare Reform Fraud
- o Honduran Coup
- o How propaganda works
- o <u>humor</u> o Internet Freedom
- o Iranian Election Propaganda
- ∘ <u>Iraq</u>
- o Israel Attacks Gaza
- o Israel Attacks Gaza Flotilla
- Israeli Nukes
- o Israels Attack on Gaza
- ∘ <u>ifk</u>
- o Jim Hoffman
- o <u>John Perry</u>
- o john pilger
- o Jon Stewart Neocon Shill
- o Jonathan Cook
- o Justice System
- Kill the Banks day
- Larry Summers
- o Libertarians and Neoliberals
- London bombing 7/7
- Lying Warmongers
- o Memorial Day Massacre
- Merry Christmas
- o Mexican Drug War is a Scam
- Mike Connell
- Mohamed Osman Mohamud
- o Music
- o Naomi Klein
- Neocons = Neolibs
- News of the Day
- o noam Chomsky
- o Norman Finkelstein
- o Obama Cabinet
- o Obama's Comprehensive Immigration Reform
- o <u>Obama</u>∏
- o palestinian rights

- o Pentagon Business Task Force
- Personal
- o police state
- o Privatizing Public Housing
- propaganda
- o Public Private Partnership
- o Rand Paul
- o Red Shirt Protests
- o Regime Change
- <u>revolution</u>
- o Revolution Muslim PsyOp Site
- o Riots in Greece
- o Rod Blagojevich
- o Run-Up to War
- o <u>Satire</u>
- Scott Creighton
- o single payer healthcare
- o Sinking of the Cheonan
- o Somalia
- o Stephen Colbert neocon shill
- o Steven Jones
- o stuff that happened
- o Swine Flu
- o Task Force 373
- o Team DLC Obama∏ Brand
- o the anthrax attacks
- o The Arizona "Crisis"
- o the real john mccain
- The So-Called PHGS
- o The West Bank
- TSA patdowns
- o <u>TSA scanners</u>
- 110101
- o Umar Farouk Abdulmutallab
- o <u>Uncategorized</u>
- ∘ <u>Vietnam</u>
- War on Pakistan
- War on Public Education
- o war on the middle class
- War on Yemen
- o war with Iran
- Weaponizing Space
- o What Our Intersests in the Region Did This Week
- o Wikileaks CIA Honeypot
- William Kruse
- o WTC Mosque Distraction
- o X 37B Drone
- o Yeonpyeong Island

If We Lose our Internet Freedoms Because of Wikileaks, You Should At Least Know Why

Posted on December 10, 2010 by willyloman

by Scott Creighton

Just a little more background on the "hero" Jullian Assange and Wikileaks...

Wikileaks was started up in Dec. of 2006. Oddly enough, as a supposed "leak" site, a dissident site, it was given a great deal of immediate mainstream attention from the likes of the <u>Washington Post</u>, <u>TIME magazine</u>, and even Cass Sunstein the now infamous Obama administration who wrote a paper on how to "cognitively infiltrate" dissident groups in order to steer them in a direction that is useful to the powers that be.

The TIME magazine article is curious because it seems that right off the bat they were telling us how to interpret Wikileaks in such a way that sounded strangely familiar to George W. Bush back just after 9/11...

"By March, more than one million leaked documents from governments and corporations in Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the former Soviet Bloc will be available online in a bold new collective experiment in whistle-blowing. That is, of course, as long as you don't accept any of the conspiracy theories brewing that Wikileaks.org could be a front for the CIA or some other intelligence agency." TIME Jan. 2007

Now remember and read closely... this article was written PRIOR to Wikileaks' first big "leak", which according to the article was to occur sometime in March of 2007. So why would TIME magazine be writing about them in the first place if they hadn't done anything yet? Also,

let's not pass up on that delicious irony: this is TIME magazine singing the praises of a supposed "leak" site which will supposedly expose all kinds of "conspiracy theories" while at the same time telling their readers NOT to believe in those silly "conspiracy theories" circulating about Wikileaks. Just so long as you believe the "right" conspiracy theories, you'll be alright I guess. This of course perfectly matches Jullian Assange's own statements about 9/11.

TIME goes on to explain that the Wikileaks version will be the "correct" version (even though they had yet to publish anything at that point... pretty far out on that credibility limb for TIME if you ask me...)

"Instead of a couple of academic specialists, Wikileaks will provide a forum for the entire global community to examine any document relentlessly for credibility, plausibility, veracity and falsifiability," its organizers write on the site's FAQ page. "They will be able to interpret documents and explain their relevance to the public. If a document is leaked from the Chinese government, the entire Chinese dissident community can freely scrutinize and discuss it..." TIME Jan. 2007

You have to remember, Wikileaks first started targeting China obviously and as we all know from history, typically dissident movements within targeted nations are often funded and run by covert CIA operations. Since Wikileaks started off with a host of Chinese dissidents, it would be logical to assume that at least some of them have links back to the agency. But it gets better.

Few of you might know that just prior to the unveiling of Wikileaks, the intelligence world had an unveiling of their own... a "social media" based resource called "Intellipedia". Some of you might find this interesting...

"With its own versions of a certain search engine and a certain online encyclopedia, the intelligence community is evolving its use of tools now widespread in the commercial sector, generating both success and controversy.

The new tools include a federated search engine called Oogle and Intellipedia, a controversial intelligence data-sharing tool based on Wiki social software technology." GCN Sept. 2006

So we see that in Sept. of 2006 there is a concerted effort in the intelligence community to embark on several new "pedia" type programs one which serves as a data-base and another which works like a Google search engine. Why wouldn't there be a third?

John Young of Cryptome (a well-known and established whistle-blower site) was working with Jullian Assange in Dec. of 2006 while they were getting all of this off the ground so to speak. eventually he came to a conclusion about Wikileaks and Assange. The following is from one of the last email communications with Assange that John Young sent him which he had released to the public once he came to his conclusions.

"All the messages received were published. My objections had been building, shown in later messages, after initial support. The finally fed-up turnaround occurred with the publication today of the \$5 million dollar by July fund-raising goal — see messages at the tail-end. I called that — along with a delay in offering a public discussion and critique forum and failure to provide a credible batch of leaked documents for public scrutiny — a surefire indication of a scam. This is the exact technique used by snake oilers, pols and spies. Requests to Cryptome to keep stuff quiet are regular fare and they always get published. Next up, the names and affiliations of the perps if they don't reveal themselves in an open forum." John Young, Dec. 2006

Go <u>here</u> to read the entire email exchange, from start to finish, including the emails sent to Daniel Ellsberg (apparently he has been emotionally attached to this project from before day-one... so much for Mr. Ellsberg's journalist objectivity)

It would appear that John Young had problems with the peer review part of the Wikileaks process... notice how that is first and foremost what TIME magazine praises about Wikileaks? Sounds to me like someone is trying to fix the narrative.

So it would appear that TIME and the Washington Post had to come out with supportive articles about Wikileaks because someone was "leaking" information and questions about them and their little project looked doomed to fail before it even got off the ground. Perhaps they got a little help writing all that propaganda from one of Jullian Assange's first partners in the project... a PR guy affiliated with ABC and News Corp's Rupert Murdoch.

"Phillip Andrew Hedley Adams, AO (born 12 July 1939) is an Australian broadcaster, film producer, writer, social commentator, satirist and left-wing pundit. He currently hosts a radio program, Late Night Live, four nights a week on the ABC, and he also writes a weekly column for the News Limited-owned newspaper, The Australian. Adams is (or was) on the Advisory Board of Wikileaks."

"Adams began his advertising career with Foote Cone & Belding and later with Brian Monahan and Lyle Dayman became a partner in the agency Monahan Dayman Adams. They took that company to a successful public listing and Adams became a millionaire in the process. He developed such successful campaigns as "Life – Be In It" [4], "Slip, Slop, Slap" [5], "Break down the Barriers", "Guess whose mum has a Whirlpool" and "watch the big men fly for a Herbert Adams Pie","

"News <u>Limited</u> is an <u>Australian newspaper</u> publisher. Until the formation of <u>News Corporation</u> in 1979, it was the principal holding for the business interests of <u>Rupert Murdoch</u>. Since then, News Limited has been wholly owned by News Corporation." Wiki

Now that's just another of the curious associations that Wikileaks seems to hold, though you would never hear about that from Glenn Greenwald or John Pilger. But you will hear about it from me. You tack PR guys with News cork affiliations onto Chinese dissidents who have been probably funded by the CIA in times past... mesh that up with John Young's 2006 conclusions, and you come away with a different view of Wikileaks altogether... especially when you look at the sum total of the work they have "leaked" over the years. Of course there may still be some of you who prefer to take TIME magazine's telling suggestion to dismiss the "outrageous conspiracy theories" and for those of you who are still in that category, I offer... Cass Sunstein.

Cass Sunstein also wrote about Wikileaks in Feb of 2007 prior to their release of the first set of Chinese "leaks". But Sunstein also wrote about infiltrating dissident groups later in 2008. Sunstein currently heads the White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs for Barack Obama.

"Sunstein co-authored a 2008 paper with <u>Adrian Vermeule</u>, titled "Conspiracy Theories," in which they wrote, "**The existence of both domestic and foreign conspiracy theories, we suggest, is no trivial matter, posing real risks to the government's antiterrorism policies, whatever the latter may be."** They go on to propose that, "*the best response consists in cognitive infiltration of extremist groups*", [22] where they suggest, among other tactics, "Government agents (and their allies) might enter chat rooms, online social networks, or even real-space groups and attempt to undermine percolating conspiracy theories by raising doubts about their factual premises, causal logic or implications for political action."

Sunstein and Vermeule also analyze the practice of secret government payments to outside commentators, who are then held out as independent experts; they suggest that "government can supply these independent experts with information and perhaps prod them into action from behind the scenes," further warning that "too close a connection will be self-defeating if it is exposed." [22] Sunstein and Vermeule argue that the practice of enlisting non-government officials, "might ensure that credible independent experts offer the rebuttal, rather than government officials themselves. There is a tradeoff between credibility and control, however. The price of credibility is that government cannot be seen to control the independent experts."" Wiki

This internal discourse on the purpose and the practice on infiltrating dissident groups in order to undermine existing "conspiracy theories" was written in 2008, but don't suppose that it hadn't been done before. Hell, just look up the Black Panthers for god's sake. But just take a look at the line "government can supply these independent experts with information" and you start to get the idea behind Wikileaks. Again, consider the type of "leaks" that have been coming out about Iran and North Korea and you get the picture.

"The Central Intelligence Agency disclosed the existence of its top-secret Intellipedia project, based on Wikipedia software (and now containing more than 28,000 pages), in late October. The agency hopes to use dispersed information to reduce the risk of intelligence failures. NASA officials have adopted a wiki site to program NASA software, allowing many participants to make improvements."

"Wikileaks.org, founded by dissidents in China and other nations, plans to post secret government documents and to protect them from censorship with coded software."

"But the track record of the new collaborations suggests that they have immense potential. In just a few years, Wikipedia has become the most influential encyclopedia in the world, consulted by judges as well as those who cannot afford to buy books. If the past is prologue, we're seeing the tip of a very large iceberg." Washington Post

Far from being a ringing endorsement of Wikileaks, Sunstein's article seems to express what we can probably assume was the motivating factor behind the creation of such a program, and that is that they knew it had "immense potential".

It's unfortunate what is going to happen. We all know it. We all see it. At some point that 256 character encryption code is going to be released and all of those wanna-be hackers will busily work to decode the 1.6 gig file they downloaded from all those bit torrent sites. Of course the files are unredacted, as has already been made clear by Mr. assange himself, and the end result will obviously be that some U.S. agent in Pakistan or Somalia or even Yemen will be disclosed and killed. At that point, the Obama administration will have no choice but to shut down thousands of websites (they just ran a BETA test for that last month shutting down 70 all at once) for "national security" reasons. Once that happens, they will of course have to pass a net neutrality bill that allows for licensing requirements for hosting websites which will mean only government approved sites will be allowed and they will be constantly monitored, for the public good of course. And thus, all those troubling "conspiracy theory" sites will be gone and Cass Sunstein can sleep better at night.

I only put this information up because I want people like John Pilger and Glenn Greenwald to know the exact role they are playing in all of this. Not that it will make any difference I suppose and not that the shunting of internet freedom will affect them... Salon won't shut down and neither will Pilger's site. Hell, those two might even have to write articles explaining how they agree with the new measures, certainly after a U.S. agent gets killed in some country we aren't even at war with.

Anyway, I don't normally do predictions and I hope I am wrong. But I don't think I am.

But just so we all know, this is the background of the mythology called Wikileaks. If we lose our internet freedoms over this fight, I certainly want us all to have a little better understanding of why.

 $\mbox{ UPDATE: John Young was just asked by AJ what he thought was the overall point of the Wikileaks program \dots$

Al: Is this a big theatre with Assange or are they burning him?

Young: Its a theatre operation. Partly lulling, partly testing systems. Testing public reaction "are we going to get traction out of cyber threats or not." will this work or not, because as you know they haven't caused any harm that is why they haven't been charged... and then there will be some lives lost or something will happen... and at some point when this cyber war becomes a real war, we will see because the laws will be ready. Interview John Young

Share this: StumbleUpon Reddit Facebook Digg

Possibly related posts: (automatically generated)

- Time to Reboot
- Is there a problem, Brits? Is he in a mosque that you choose not to enter?
- Julian Assange Should be Assassinated
- <u>Headsplit</u>

Filed under: Scott Creighton, Wikileaks CIA Honeypot

« Neoliberal News of the Day - Dec. 10, 2010 Dramatic Photos of UK Protests »

Like Be the first to like this post.

24 Responses



Steve N, on <u>December 10, 2010 at 12:27 pm</u> said:

Wikileaks is Mossad propaganda for the US to continue endless Wars for Israel, it all started nearly a decade ago under a false flag attack. 9/11 and Israel, here:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.iuniverse.com/Bookstore/BookDetail.aspx?BookId=SKU-000190526}}$



relament, on December 10, 2010 at 12:55 pm said:

Here's an article from the Pakistan Daily, back in July:

http://www.daily.pk/cia-mossad-and-soros-behind-wikileaks-19280/



ahey2012, on December 10, 2010 at 2:05 pm said:

I think they are just getting the Wiki snowball rolling.... this is a GREAT tool for them – and those of us in the next paradigm already see it's true intentions — the masses

(with help of MSM) will never question it — just like when THEY catch "terrorists" the masses accept it – even while they are more and more questioning the very event that gave us the new reality of terrorists... So it's the "doublethink" ability of the masses that while they may, eventually, question wikileaks or admit to be suspect — they STILL believe (and think it's normal) the stories put out by Wiki — and the inevitable "solution" given by governments to deal with Wiki...

However, I see this as a tool intel agencies are just getting warmed up — like the article from daily PK says – No doubt they want to use it's power in the "election season" – so we'll see Wiki (with professional wrestling bouts with police/govt officials) go on and on leaking all through and up to 2012...

It still does boggle the mind that the public actually believes organizations and websites like WikiLeaks are "real" "independent" "a threat to the powers that be" "exposing truths" etc — The bright lights of the MSM and even govt' officials responding to them is proof this is massive co-intel pro – and then secondly you look at what indeed has been "leaked" and it becomes even more obvious... Wiki tows the line in every category for the powers that be.



Chris, on December 10, 2010 at 2:30 pm said:

Seriously, what is in wikileaks that is at all damaging to Israel? Didn't a story just come out yesterday from an Assange former colleague essentially saying that Assange made a deal with Israel? Big f'ing surprise there. It seems the main purpose of the leaks(if you listen to the media) is to say that Iran is really really scary, engaged in terrorism and obtaining nuclear weapons and that even the Arab governments want war with them. Gee, who does that narrative serve?



willyloman, on December 10, 2010 at 2:45 pm said:

there is nothing damaging to Israel. In fact, most of the Wiki "leaks" support Israel's position on pretty much everything they have been doing for the past 10 years. India as well, for that matter.

6. If We Lose Our Internet Freedoms Because of Wikileaks, You Should At Least Know Why | empirestrikesblack, on December 10, 2010 at 2:47 pm said:

[...] By Scott Creighton. [...]



Virginia Simson, on December 10, 2010 at 2:54 pm said:

The proof of the pudding is in the eating. Will ANY war criminals get indicted? Will this help the poor, the environmentally ravaged, those caught in traps of superimperialISM, the unjustly convicted .. or do we just endlessly do final exams day after day trying to make sense of any endless stream of documents which, mostly, have been tabloids for intellectuals ??



india, on December 10, 2010 at 4:32 pm said:

What the crappy way to be famous, go in mud cluster and wash you face you India hater US idiot.



G Street, on December 10, 2010 at 6:09 pm said:

You are now my most trusted name in news. I had lots of suspicions about it - i.e., what good has wikileaks done? – but in my opinion you have documented this. And, I don't think you are wrong either. Especially the Sunstein bit. Unflippin believable. Wish I could give you a Pulitzer.



G Street, on December 10, 2010 at 7:06 pm said:

The left's sudden, extreme support for all things wikileaks also reminds me of the rise of the strange new left that catapulted Obama into office. IMO, that neoleft internet base is based in a contractor's office.



willyloman, on December 10, 2010 at 7:35 pm said:

Think Progress is run by an arm of John Podesta's Center for Progress. It's a focus group for the Neoliberal New Dems and helped put Obama in office. and yes, its pretty much a contract operation.

12. WikiLeaks Leader, Julian Assange, Arrested-global hackers displeased, infowar rebellion erupts « Dregs of the Future, on December 10, 2010 at 7:40 pm said:

 $[\ldots]$ If We Lose our Internet Freedoms Because of Wikileaks, You Should At Least Know Why $[\ldots]$



Mihail, on December 10, 2010 at 7:58 pm said:

The jews are behind internet kill.

Joe Lieberman U..S. needs Internet kill switch like China http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ttvuSqaxF-c

We have exterminated the property owners in Russia. We are going to do the same thing in Europe and America."

(The Jew, December 1925, Zinobit)



Dean Procter, on December 10, 2010 at 10:59 pm said:

Are you like a holocaust denier attempting to suggest that the torture, rape, renditions and murder don't go on.

Are you one of those seeking to distract readers from the content of the official US government documents or suggest that the documents are a fabrication?

Are you a supporter of methods involving torture or torture by proxy? Did any of your forefathers fight against the Nazi's?



willyloman, on December 10, 2010 at 11:10 pm said:

I'm sorry... are you talking to me?

Assange's documents don't disclose a lot of stuff we don't already know. We know innocent civilians died in Iraq. Assange would have you think that number is around 115,000 (mostly killed by other Iraqis) and yet there are several studies that put that number over a million.

Wikileaks spends its time creating false connections between North Korea and Iran... saying that Iran is killing troops in Iraq... saying Pakistan is killing troops in Afghanistan... crap like that.

Wikileaks probably has "real" documents, but as they admit, they are being vetted by state department officials to serve whatever need they see fit.

that's how disinfo works. and that is what Wikileaks is. I don't know what the Nazi's have to do with it. Unless of course you are talking about the Nazis that came to work here in the U.S. after the war.



relament, on December 11, 2010 at 12:04 am said:

Here's an interesting article from Lebanon:

 $\underline{\text{http://www.dailystar.com.lb/article.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp?edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp.edition_ID=1\&article_ID=122384\&categ_id=2\#axzz17lqDiJAnarticle.asp.edition_ID=1244axz124axz$



willyloman, on December 11, 2010 at 12:21 am said:

that's a very telling article. this is exactly what the "leaks" are designed to do.



Pat Donnelly, on December 11, 2010 at 4:11 am said:

TIME is CIA. What else would they say, to damage Assange?

Caution is a good thing, but are you falling for the same trick?



willyloman, on <u>December 11, 2010 at 7:43 am</u> said:

I might say that you had a point if this was Dec. 2006 or Jan. 2007, even though Young had already come out with the emails by then, but the fact is, this is 4 years later and we can clearly see the kinds of "leaks" Assange has come up with since then. There is a track record and it ain't good.

- 20. If We Lose our Internet Freedoms Because of Wikileaks, You Should At Least Know Why! -The final goods on Wikileaks! | The Paradise Post, on December 12, 2010 at 5:20 am said:
 - [...] Scott Creighton's blogsite is American Everyman at willyloman [...]
- 21. Willilohman hat die alten Neuigkeiten | Die Welt an meinem Herzen, on December 12, 2010 at 3:04 pm said:
 - [...] grase ich alle Nachrichten ab, die Erhellung versprechen. Scott Creighton von AmericanEveryman hat hier zusammengetragen, wie amerikanische Mainstreammedien Wikileaks promotet haben, noch bevor Assange [...]



J.N. Kish, on <u>December 12, 2010 at 3:30 pm</u> said:

Media Hypes New WikiLeaks Front Man – John Young http://inkish.blogspot.com/2010/12/media-hypes-new-wikileaks-front-man.html

On the same day as Julian Assange's arrest (December 7, 2010) an article ran in The New York Observer – seemingly on cue. The article, titled The Original WikiLeaker, introduced a new and "more credible" front man for the leaking community. Exit Assange, stage left – enter cryptome.org founder John Young, "the original Wikileaker." For those of us who suspect that the entire WikiLeaks situation is orchestrated – the timing is impeccable.



Ousmane Ba, on December 13, 2010 at 2:09 am said:

The government knows what is in the cables and therefore who is in danger. They have enough time to pull them back unless they want to sacrifice them to support their argument.

Back to the point of the discussion this whole thing is looking like a 3D chess game and can backfire on any of the players anytime. I would not bet on censoring the internet the side effects of that could be devasting.

One thing is clear the situation is growing to the point where individual players like assange will become insignificant.

- 24. wikileaks: If We Lose our Internet Freedoms Because of Wikileaks, You Should At Least Know Why | Hetuurvandewaarheid's Blog, on December 13, 2010 at 11:14 am said:
 - [...] Scott Creighton's blogsite is American Everyman at willyloman [...]

Leave a Reply

Your email address will not be publish	ed. Required fields are	marked *
Name *		
Email *		
Website		

Comment

You may use these HTML tags and attributes: <abbr title=""> <acronym title=""> <blockquote cite=""> <cite> <code> <del datetime=""> <i> <q cite=""> <strike>

Post Comment	
Notify me of fo	llow-up comments via email.
Send me site u	ıpdates

Meta

- o <u>Register</u>
- o <u>Log in</u>
- o <u>Entries</u>RSS
- o Comments RSS
- o WordPress.com

01 Research WTC

- o FEMA WTC Performance Report Chapter 2 WTC 1 and 2 structural
- o FEMA WTC Performance Report Table of Contents
- OrwellI's Dreams
- o Webster Tarpley

• 1A News Sources

- o 21st Century Socialism
- ACLU
- o <u>al Jazeera Net (English)</u>
- o <u>AlterNet</u>
- o Atheo News:Dauntless Dissent
- o <u>B'Tselem</u>
- o Democracy Now
- o <u>Dissident Voice</u>
- o Dr. Meryl Nass(Dr. lyins Case)
- o Electronic Intifada (EI)
- o <u>Filasteen</u>
- o Foriegn Policy in Focus
- Free Gaza
- o Free Speech TV
- o Global Research
- o Honduras Watch
- o In These Times
- o Information Clearinghouse
- o <u>Israel's 60th Birthday</u>
- o John Perry Online
- <u>Link TV</u>
- o Narco News
- o No Lies Radio
- o Norman Finkelstein
- o Online Journal
- o Pacific Free Press
- o Press TV Live
- o Project Censored
- o Pulse Media o Radio Du Jour
- o Raising Yousuf and Noor: diary of a Palestinian mother
- o Real News
- o Rock Creek Free Press
- o Russia Today (RT)
- Socialist Worker
- o The Economic Collapse
- o Webster Tarpley
- o World Socialist Website Site

911 investigations

- o 911 and the BBC
- o 911 Research Page
- o 911 Timeline and other Information
- o 911 Truth
- o AE911Truth.org
- o <u>After-afterdowningstreet</u>
- Beyond the Curtain
- o David Ray Griffin: Truth and Politics
- o David Ray Griffin; Myth and Reality
- o Dr. Richard Gage: How the Towers Fell
- o Freedom to Fascism
- o Improbable Collapse
- Kenny's Side Show
- o PatriotsQuestion911
- o Physics911
- o Sept. 11th Revisited
- o The Progressive Mind
- o Videos of WTC 2
- Webster Tarpley

911 Scholarly Articles

- o 9/11: Proof of Explosive Demolitions Without Calculations
- o 911 and the Twin Towers: Sudden Collapse Initiation was Impossible
- o 911 Oral Histories NY Times
- Construction Details WTC
- <u>Direct Evidence for Explosions: Flying Projectiles and Widespread Impact Damage</u>
- o Douglas, R.A. NIST Simulation
- o Dr. Grabbe- Evidence of Explosions
- examples of "hate spech"
- Extremely high Tempatures during the World Trade Center Destruction
- o Journal of 911 Studies
- o NIST Response Letter: Do not know what brought down the towers.
- o Prof. Kutter; Collapse Time
- o Prof. Kutter; WTC 7 a short computation
- o Vasquez-Glowing Aluminum Disinformation
- o Waiting for Seven: WTC 7 Collapse and FDNY Oral Histories
- o Why Indeed Did the WTC Buildings Completely Collapse?

activism

- o American Freedom Campaign
- o AntiWar.com
- o Beyond Guantanamo
- CCPA
- o Center for Constitutional Rights
- o Change Congress
- o Citizens for Ethics and Responsibility in Washington
- o counterpunch
- o <u>Demos</u>
- o Free Rice
- o GNN
- o Gush Shalom english
- o In These Times
- o <u>Indypendent</u>
- o Iraq Verterans Against the War
- o <u>Just Foreign Policy</u>
- o NYC Indymedia
- o Orwelli's Dreams
- o <u>Public Citizen</u>
- o Rabble TV
- o Restore the Republic
- o Socialist Worker
- o Sunlight Foundation
- o <u>TruthDiq</u>
- o US Labor Against the War
- o Veterans for Peace
- o WorldCan'tWait

Banking/Finance

- Deep Capture
- o The Economic Collapse

Blogroll

- After-afterdowningstreet
- o American Bad Ass
- o American Everyman
- o Beyond the Curtain
- o <u>Brad Blog</u>
- o Center for Public Integrity
- o Cindy Sheehan's Soapbox
- o Down With Tyranny
- o FireDogLake
- o <u>Getting Truth</u>
- o Glenn Greenwald
- o Greg Palast
- Human Beings are Free
- o Ignorance is Not Bliss
- Kenny's Side Show
- o Larry Silverstein's WTC Lease
- o <u>Marjorie Cohn Dissident</u>
- o Nicholas John Mead

- o Northern Light
- o Orwelli's Dreams
- o Pax Cosmico
- o Redstate Revolt
- $\circ \ \ \underline{\text{Rock the Truth}}$
- o Socialist Worker
- The Atlantic Free Press
- o The Economic Collapse
- o The Heroes of America
- o The Raw Story
- o The World Can't Wait
- o <u>ToasterHeads Blog</u>
- o <u>Touchy Subjects</u>
- Wake Up Call
- o Winter Patriot
- o Blog at WordPress.com.
- o Blog at WordPress.com.
- o WTC North Blueprints (released Nov. 2007)

democracy

- o <u>Constitution</u>
- o <u>Electronic Freedom Foundation</u>
- o Go Left TV
- o JFK 1961 Speech to Gathered Press
- o <u>Justice Stevens' Dissent on Citizens United</u>
- o Pick Candidate by Issue Quiz
- o Rabble TV
- o <u>Socialist Worker</u>
- o <u>The Atlantic Free Press</u>
- o The Power of Nightmares

Blog at WordPress.com. Theme: Digg 3 Column by WP Designer.