**WRIT OF PROHIBITION ADDRESSING THE UNLAWFUL TRESPASS ON RIGHTS**

Naval Station Everett

2000 W Marine View Drive

Everett, WA 98201

Captain Michael Davis

I, Full Name and Mailing Address here, the lawful man/woman, have the absolute right and authority

granted to me by my Creator and I shall bring criminal tort claims against any and all perpetrators

unlawfully succeeding their sworn oath of office and the challengeable jurisdiction that is under the

authority of the United States and Washington State Constitution and the Bill of Rights. Captain John

Hook, your apparent ignorance of absolute law is a violation for which you shall be held accountable in

both your private and public capacity. Be forewarned, ignorance of the law, grants no absolute or

qualified immunity to those who knowingly and willingly display the ignorance of their authority and

responsibility to protect, defend, and honor the rights delegated for the Constitution and the American

people. Your oath of office being your binding duty to uphold the Constitution for the United States of

America, the Washington State Constitution and my God given rights utilizing **UCC-1-308 Without**

**Prejudices:** without prejudice meaning you are not to hold prejudice against me for knowing and

invoking my God-given rights.

The unlawful enforcement of an experimental vaccine (an injection of mass genocide) is an act of

intimidation and coercion to a population and is clearly defined in section 802 of the Patriot Act. I am

lawfully withholding my consent and furthermore, invoking my Constitutionally protected right for my

redress of grievances and furthermore, demand recompence for all unlawful trespass on my right to life,

liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

Wherefore as you are a representative of the United States Armed Forces, and you have a responsibility to

do the right thing and abide by the law, I demand that you cease and desist any and all forced

experimental gene therapy injections known as the COVID19 vaccine. As you can see, certain laws show

that you are operating outside of the law, furthermore I suggest you abide by the oath of office that you

took and uphold the United States and Washington State Constitution. On your present path you have

created an inverse condemnation of my private property rights therefore if you continue to trespass on my

Constitutionally protected rights, you will be demanded by law to pay triple damages and all legal and

Lawful expenses.

**Service members' Prior Consent**

Certain people and elected officials have recently encouraged the President of the United States to waive

members of the Armed Forces’ right to prior consent for COVID19 vaccines. According to 10 U.S.C.

§1107(f), only the President of the United States may order such a waiver if he determines, in writing,

that obtaining consent is not in the national security interest. The intent of any waiver of consent must be

related to a member's participation in a “particular military operation,” as opposed to the broad sweep

some are encouraging. Such a waiver is only permissible when obtaining prior consent is infeasible or

contrary to the best interests of the military member.

The specific law on EUA vaccines was codified in 10 U.S.C. § 1107a.50 The § 1107 a language is similar

to § 1107(f) to ensure that troops are granted prior consent and have the “option to accept or refuse

administration of a product.” National leaders should continue to honor and respect service members’

rights.

No President has ever waived service members’ prior consent under 10 U.S.C. § 1107(f) or 10 U.S.C. §

1107a, and The United States Armed Forces shall advise that current circumstances do not warrant such

drastic action.

**Coercion and Compulsion**

COVID19 vaccines are optional in accordance with 21 C.F.R. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(a) as EUA products. Yet

throughout the United States, schools, businesses, government and industry are using coercive tactics to

encourage, incentivize and compel COVID19 vaccination as a condition of employment, education and

daily living. It is unlikely that most Americans would support such coercion if they were fully informed

that COVID19 vaccines are for emergency use only, investigational, unapproved, and that individuals

have the explicit right to refuse by well-established law.

Some states are considering or have approved legislation or executive action to bar vaccine mandates.

Some professional medical associations also have expressed opposition to these coercive tactics.

Coercion and compulsory vaccination are inconsistent with the legal and lawful requirements to inform

both healthcare workers administering EUA vaccines and vaccine recipients of the significant known and

unknown benefits and risks of such use. Most importantly, the United States Armed Forces must ensure

all military members are aware of the “option to accept or refuse” administration of all EUA products and

those alternatives are available. These disclosure requirements are entirely inconsistent with coercion,

and government agencies shall not publish information that violates the law.

**Actions Demanded**

1. The United States Armed Forces shall revoke any and all EUAs and refrain from approving any future EUA, NDA or BLA for any COVID vaccine for all demographic groups due to the current risks of serious adverse events or deaths outweighing the benefits, and because existing, approved drugs provide highly effective prophylaxis and treatment against COVID19, mooting the EUAs.
2. The United States Armed Forces shall immediately amend its existing guidance for the use of the chloroquine drugs, ivermectin, and any other drugs demonstrated to be safe and effective against COVID19, to comport with current scientific evidence of safety and efficacy at currently used doses.
3. The United States Armed Forces shall not grant an unprecedented waiver of prior consent regarding COVID19 vaccines for Service members under 10 U.S.C. § 1107(f) or 10 U.S.C. § 1107.
4. The United States Armed Forces shall issue guidance to all members of the Armed Services to have the option to accept or refuse administration of investigational COVID19 vaccines without adverse work, educational or other non-health related consequences, under Title 21 U.S.C. § 360bbb-3(e)(1)(a)(ii)(I-III), Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act: Individuals to whom the product is administered are informed - (III) Have the option to accept or refuse administration of the product and the informed consent requirements of the Nuremberg Code.2 7.

**Title 21 U.S.C. Section 360bbb-3(e)(1)(A)(ii)(I-III) Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act:**

**Individuals to whom the product is administered are informed-(III) Have the option to accept or refuse administration of the product**. 21 C.F.R. § 10.20, § 10.30, § 50.23, § 600 – 680, § 601.2; 10 U.S.C. § 1107(f), § 1107a; 21 U.S.C. § 355(i)(4), § 360bbb-3; 42 U.S. Code § 247d; § 564 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FDCA); the Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act; the Public Health Service Act, and § 553(e) of the Administrative Procedures Act.

Under the **Nuremberg Code**, **U.S.A. v. Karl Brandt (1947)**: Twenty-three doctors and administrators accused of organizing and participating in war crimes against humanity in the form of medical experiments and medical procedures inflicted on prisoners and civilians. The four counts of indictments are:

·         Conspiracy to commit war crimes against humanity

·         War crimes

·         Crimes against humanity

·         Membership in a criminal organization

**The Geneva Conventions** are four treaties and three protocols that establish International legal standards for humanitarian treatment in war. It defines the basic right of wartime prisoners (civilian and military) and establishes protections.

**Bio-Chemical Warfare – Geneva Protocol** A treaty prohibiting the use of chemical and biologicalweapons in international conflicts**.** This protocol prohibits the use of bacteriological methods of warfare, asphyxiating, poisonous or other gases, analogous liquids, materials or devices during wartime. This is understood to be a general prohibition on **chemical weapons** and **biological weapons**.

**The Hague Convention** The first formal statements of the laws of war and war crimes in the body of secular international law. International law or law of the nations is the set of rules and standards recognized as binding between nations. It establishes guidelines and a framework for states across a broad range of domains, including war and human rights.

**Doe #1 v. Rumsfeld 297 F. Supp.2d 119 (2003) The court held:”…The United States cannot demand that members of the armed forces also serve as guinea pigs for experimental drugs.” Id. At 135. No court has EVER upheld a mandate for an EUA vaccine.**

**U.S.C. 1st Amendment** clearly states: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances.

**U.S.C. 5th Amendment**...Deprivation of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

**Title 18 U.S.C. §242** **Deprivation of Rights under Color of Law** makes it a crime for a person acting under color of any law to willfully deprive a person of a right or privilege protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

**Title 18 U.S.C. §241 Conspiracy Against Rights**. If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States.

**Washington State Constitution Article 1, Declaration of Rights §4**- Right of Petition and Assemblage. The right of the people peaceably to assemble for the common good shall never be abridged**. (**You can find for your state if so desired, but not necessary.)

**16 Am Jur 2d §71 Effect of Emergency.** It issometimes argued that the existence of an emergency allows the existence and operation of powers, national or state, which violate the inhibitions of the Federal Constitution. The rule is quite otherwise**.** No emergency justifies the violation of any of the provisions of the United States Constitution.

**16 Am Jur 2d §98** **Effect of Public Emergency.** While an emergency cannot create power , and no emergency justifies the violation of any of the provisions of the United States Constitution or state Constitution public emergency.

**16 Am Jur 2d, §177 late 2d, §256:** **Unconstitutional Official Acts**. The general misconception is that any statute passed by legislators bearing the appearance of law constitutes the law of the land. An unconstitutional law cannot operate to supersede any existing valid law.

”**Mattox v. U.S., 156 US 237, 243. (1985)** “We are bound to interpret the Constitution in the light of the law as it existed at the time it was adopted.”

**Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43 (1906)** states that I can stand upon my God given rights as an American Citizen, my right to CONTRACT is unlimited, and that I owe NO DUTY to the state.

**U.S. v. Minker, 350 US 179 at 187 (1956)** Inasmuch as every government is an artificial person, an abstraction, and a creature of the mind only, a government can interface only with other artificial persons. The imaginary, having neither actuality nor substance, is foreclosed from creating and attaining parity with tangible. The legal manifestation of this is that no government, as well as any law, agency, aspect, court, etc. can concern itself with anything other than corporate, artificial persons and the contracts between them.”

***The King v. Pear*** (1779) in [English criminal law](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English_criminal_law) interpreted [possession](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Possession_(law)) and [intent](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intent) in "[larceny](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Larceny) by trick" Larceny by trick is descriptive of the method used to obtain possession. The chief impediment to conviction was the doctrine of possessorial immunity which said that a person who had acquired possession lawfully, that is with the consent of the owner, could not be prosecuted for larceny. The fraudulent act that induced the owner to transfer possession "vitiated" the consent. This concept of consent broadened the scope of larceny. Before, consent meant the voluntary relinquishment of possession and thus property was wrongfully taken only if the defendant acquired possession by stealth, force or threat of force.

**Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (2 Cranch) 137, 180 (1803) ".** "All law (rules and practices) which are repugnant to the Constitution are VOID". Since the 14th Amendment to the Constitution states "NO State (Jurisdiction) shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the rights, privileges, or immunities of citizens of the United States nor deprive any citizens of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law, ... or equal protection under the law", this renders judicial immunity unconstitutional.

**Davis v. Wechsler, 263 US 22,24** “Where rights secured by the Constitution are involved, there can be no rule making or legislation which would abrogate them.”

**Miranda v. Arizona, 384 US 436, 491.** “The claim and exercise of a Constitutional right cannot be converted into a crime.”

**Miller v.** **US, 230 F 486, 491**. “There can be no sanction or penalty imposed upon one because of this exercise of Constitutional rights.”

**City of Dallas v Mitchell, 245 S.W. 944**. “To take away all remedy for the enforcement of a right is to take away the right itself. But that is not within the power of the State.”

**SECTION 802 OF THE USA PATRIOT ACT (Pub. L. No. 107-52**) expanded the definition of

terrorism to cover ""domestic,"" as opposed to international, terrorism. A person engages in domestic

terrorism if they do an act "dangerous to human life" that is a violation of the criminal laws of a state or

the United States, if the act appears to be intended to: (i) intimidate or coerce a civilian population; (ii)

influence the policy of a government by intimidation or coercion.

**CONSTITUTIONAL CONCLUSION**

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ the lawful

man/woman am refusing, by well-established law, any and all unlawful EUA products or testing on

myself but *not limited to*; EUA Tests, EUA Medical Procedures, EUA Facial Masks/Coverings, EUA

Investigational Products, including facial masks, EUA Testing Centers, EUA Health Data Tracking and

Surveillance Platforms, Population Genomics Programs, Diagnostic Laboratories, IT Health Data

Platforms. Social Distancing, Covid testing, Temperature Taking and/or Experimental Vaccine ( Injection

of mass genocide) known as the COVID19 vaccine. I move the court that this matter be rectified

immediately, and that I be made whole through demonstrating the end of all facial covering, social

distancing, discrimination, intimidation, bullying, coercion, trespass, unlawful programs, unlawful

enforcement of COVID19 vaccine (injection of mass genocide), policies and procedures violating my

unalienable rights through the implementation of these unlawful programs and policies and ensure

Constitutional compliance and the training necessary for staff compliance for The United States Armed

Forces.

**NOTICE REGARDING WRIT OF PROHIBITION**

**28 U.S.C. §1333, §1337, §2461 and §2463**

A private and not a Public Communication

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principle

Notice to Principle is Notice to Agent

Applicable to all successors and assigns

Silence is Acquiescence/Agreement/Dishonor

**THIS IS A SELF EXECUTING CONTRACT**

**RESISTANCE TO TYRANTS IS OBEDIENCE TO GOD.” – BEN FRANKLIN**

**NOTARY STATEMENT**

In the State of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

County of **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

I swear that on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_2021

the above-named petitioner, **\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_**

personally, appeared before me, and of his/her own free will, signed and executed this

Writ of Prohibition.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_