Luis Miguel De Benito, a digestive physician with a PhD in molecular biology, has recorded an excerpt of an experiment he conducted which has found what would appear to be mysterious MAC addresses detected in vaccinated individuals with Bluetooth applications.

Although it may sound very futuristic, we have heard from Klaus Schwab, founder, and chairman of The World Economic Forum (WEF), that humans beings will soon receive a chip in their body in order to merge with the digital world, this was recorded in an interview with Swiss channel RTS on the 10th January 2016.

In the same interview, he was asked when there would be implantable chips, Schwab replied, "certainly in the next ten years, first, we will wear them in our clothes, and then we could imagine that we will implant them in our brains or on our skin.

Klaus Schwab

Schwab, designer, and promoter of The Great Reset he is also the guy we have repeatedly heard saying that "COVID -19 is "a rare but narrow window of opportunity to rethink, reinvent, reset our world."

This "Window of opportunity" was created through the construction of the plandemic, which has essentially been a Trojan horse used to usher in Schwab's plans.

Schwab also revealed that "in the end, maybe there will be a direct communication between our brain and the digital world. what we see is a kind of fusion of the physical, digital and biological world"

Dr Benito's findings from his experiment conducted in the summer of 2021, could suggest that Schwab's plans for this fusion, may have already come to fruition through COVID gene therapy injections, which according to Benito, appear to install a MAC address inside individuals who have taken the jab.

The video recording will be linked at the end of this article, however, I have written out a transcript of the recording just below.

Dr. Luis Benito

The Dr Luis Benito Recording Transcript

"I'm going to tell you today the findings of this summer, which go along the lines of what may be behind all this attempt to vaccinate.



Why so much interest in jabbing? What's the reason? I'm going to tell you.

The international organizations that are also investigating this matter asked me for a brief report on what I had done during the summer and I'm going to going to read it to you as it is.

I'm going to make glosses of what I'm saying, but this is what I've written to them and the different teams that are investigating this are seeing what part of it is right and what part is not.

They're translating it into several languages, I say this because, probably, by the 31st it's going to be made public in other places or whatever.

It's been hard for me to get here to read it. Do you know why? Because it does weigh a little bit the fact of taking out of the medical issue.

All this, you know, started as a channel to discuss the evolution of the pandemic, the affected, the treatments, the virus, how the ICUs were, well, and this no longer makes sense.

All that's part of the past. And now, what we're going to is a recognition of a desire, on the part of the authorities, to take away freedoms from human beings.

I don't care whether you think I'm raving or not, but as I have a moral obligation to report what I have done, I tell you today, and whoever wants to believe it will believe it, and whoever doesn't will judge, criticize and compare it.

As I say, I've written it, and I have already sent it to the teams that are studying this subject in different parts of the world.

DR. LUIS MIGUEL DE BENITO - KLAUS SCHWAB'S FUTURISTIC PARADISE

"If from the medical point of view there's no need to administer any preventive measure for a disease with the lethality of 2 per 1,000, why so much insistence that everyone should be inoculated?

What's the vaccine going to give me that I don't already have? Well, this experiment arose from this reflection.

Although there were many consultations, during the summer of 2021, in the afternoons, I was the only operative. There wasn't even administrative staff in the afternoons in that building.

"Under my office, I occasionally parked an ambulance from SUMA, from the emergency service, because they have a base there. Those were the only "interferences" I detected. Most of the observations were carried out without that artifact. I started the consultation at 15:00 and had patients listed every 20 minutes.

Due to COVID measures, it was recommended that they come alone and, if possible, at the appointed time. Not before or after, before starting the consultation, I'd connect the Bluetooth application on my cell phone and invariably check that there was no device available to contact, there was no electronic device in range to connect to.

When a patient appeared, often already up the stairs or at the beginning of the corridor, about 20 meters away from the practice, on my cell phone, I could see if one or two devices to connect to with Bluetooth appeared, one or two or none.

On my phone, I could check to see if the Bluetooth was detecting something or nothing and if it was something, it was a device with a MAC Address (Media Access Control) code. This is a unique identifier that electronic device manufacturers assign to a card or item that can be networked.

After attending to the patient's medical requirements, I'd ask him whether or not he had been vaccinated for COVID. If the answer was affirmative, it was usually quick and without hesitation and if it was negative, it was often accompanied by a certain wariness, if not anger at the question.

"A reaction that explained to me that, in general, those who hadn't wanted to be vaccinated had been subjected to some kind of adverse social situation. After reassuring the patient, whatever his response, I'd write down on a sheet of paper the answer he gave me.

None of the 137 patients I asked refused to answer, If the answer was affirmative, I'd ask them what type of vaccine they had received, when, and if they had had any adverse reactions.

I'd then ask them if they had any cell phones or electronic devices such as wireless headsets or tablets on them, and if so, I'd ask them to turn it off for a moment. When they turned it off, on my cell phone, usually, one of the devices that registered to Bluetooth would disappear.

Out of hundreds Here are the results.

Of the 137 patients questioned, 112 said they had been vaccinated, and 25 said they hadn't been vaccinated.

None of the patients who said they hadn't been vaccinated registered on my cell phone any device available for Bluetooth connection, having ensured the disconnection of their cell phone, if they had one.

In 96 patients of the 112 who said they **had** been vaccinated, 96 of the 112, having switched off their electronic devices if they were carrying them, a **MAC** code remained on the screen of my cell phone, which I had already noted in my notes next to the patient's medical history.

Source

I interpreted that it was a code that the patient himself was carrying and that, in fact, when he left the office, leaving the building, it disappeared from my cell phone.

With this simple observation throughout July and August, I've been able to verify that 100% of the patients who say they aren't vaccinated don't raise any contact device with my cell phone via Bluetooth. But 86% of those who said they were vaccinated generated a MAC Address on my cell phone.

"These are the observations made, and many doubts and questions arise from them, among them, the ones that seem to me be the most significant are

First: Does the signal detected on my cell phone come from the vaccinated person? Well, precisely the isolated environment and not being interfered with by other signals has meant that there's no other possible source of contamination.

In fact, when the SUMA ambulance arrived, apart from other devices such as "SUMA base" and several MAC addresses that I attributed to ambulance personnel who could be vaccinated, I noticed that these signals disappeared when the ambulance left.

And when there were suspicions about whether there was some other distorting element, for example, patients' escorts in the corridor, accidental operators in the corridor that could be the source or origin of other markers, then I dismissed that case. It is not within the 137 that I have confirmed.

Second: Do all cell phones detect Bluetooth devices with equal capability? My answer is no. My experience is that they don't.

Third: Does the detectable MAC Address on a person allow interaction with him? To what extent? At least I'm sure of one thing: it allows me to know where he is because I knew that someone vaccinated was coming to my office, before he showed up.

The fourth question I asked myself: If the MAC Address is something personal, individual, and unrepeatable, how is it possible that the five people who were injected with the contents of the same vial, from the same distribution of the same batch, don't have 5 different MAC Addresses?

"Well, I consulted with some computer technicians, roboticists and fellow biologists and engineers, experts in computer science, and nanorobotics. And they advocate the possibility that this code is generated as a result of the interaction of what's injected with the genetic material of the patient.

Perhaps not so much with their DNA, as with the larger compatibility complex, the HLA's, which are ultimately derived from the genome.

DR. LUIS MIGUEL DE BENITO – KLAUS SCHWAB'S FUTURISTIC PARADISE

"If the MAC address is generated by the vaccine, why do only 86% of those who claim to be vaccinated have it? Different answers have been considered to answer this question.

- 1. Some of the vials could be placebo.
- 2. Some vials could be denatured.
- 3. A lack of response. In other words, the expected results are not always obtained when a product is applied.
- 4. Which seems very important to me, is because the patient lies and says he is vaccinated when he isn't to avoid problems or controversies.

I have many doubts. These are the findings that I've made. They're outside my medical knowledge. I simply attest that when you're in consultation with a person in an isolated environment, that comes up on my cell phone.

What does it mean? I have no idea. But I don't like it."

With a hat-tip to Patricia Harrity for this report, first published in 'The Daily Expose' on Saturday 29th December 2021