

Report Part Title: Notes

Report Title: Asia in the Balance

Report Subtitle: Transforming US Military Strategy in Asia

Report Author(s): Thomas G. Mahnken, Dan Blumenthal, Thomas Donnelly, Michael Mazza, Gary J. Schmitt and Andrew Shearer

American Enterprise Institute (2012)

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.com/stable/resrep03128.16>

---

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



*American Enterprise Institute* is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to this content.

JSTOR

## Notes

1. US Department of Defense, "Sustaining U.S. Global Leadership: Priorities for 21st Century Defense," January 2012, [www.defense.gov/news/Defense\\_Strategic\\_Guidance.pdf](http://www.defense.gov/news/Defense_Strategic_Guidance.pdf) (accessed April 30, 2012).
2. US Department of Defense, *Quadrennial Defense Review Report* (Washington, DC, September 30, 2001), [www.defense.gov/pubs/qdr2001.pdf](http://www.defense.gov/pubs/qdr2001.pdf) (accessed April 30, 2012). The report noted, on page four: "In particular, Asia is gradually emerging as a region susceptible to large-scale military competition."
3. Bruce W. Bennett and Jennifer Lind, "The Collapse of North Korea: Military Missions and Requirements," *International Security* 36, no. 2 (Fall 2011): 84–119.
4. As we will describe, such a strategy would require the United States to force China to face difficult military problems—problems that take considerable time and resources to respond to—and hence make fewer resources available to China for challenging the United States and its allies.
5. See *Taiwan Relations Act*, Public Law 96-8, 96th Congress, (January 1, 1979), [www.ait.org.tw/en/taiwan-relations-act.html](http://www.ait.org.tw/en/taiwan-relations-act.html) (accessed April 30, 2012).
6. See, for example, Eric S. Edelman, "The Strange Career of the 1992 Defense Planning Guidance" in *In Uncertain Times: American Foreign Policy after the Berlin Wall and 9/11*, ed. Melvin P. Leffler and Jeffrey W. Legro (Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2011), 63–77.
7. See, for example, Amy Chang, *Indigenous Weapons Development in China's Military Modernization* (Washington, DC: US-China Economic and Security Review Commission, April 5, 2012), [www.uscc.gov/researchpapers/2012/China-Indigenous-Military-Developments-Final-Draft-03-April2012.pdf](http://www.uscc.gov/researchpapers/2012/China-Indigenous-Military-Developments-Final-Draft-03-April2012.pdf) (accessed April 30, 2012).
8. John Pomfret, "Defense Secretary Gates: U.S. Underestimated Parts of China's Military Buildup," *Washington Post*, January 9, 2011, <http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/content/article/2011/01/09/AR2011010901068.html> (accessed May 24, 2012).
9. Anna Mulrine, "We Underestimated China, U.S. Official Says after Reports of J-20 Stealth Fighter," *Christian Science Monitor*, January 6, 2011, <http://m.csmonitor.com/USA/Military/2011/0106/We-underestimated-China-US-official-says-after-reports-of-J-20-stealth-fighter> (accessed May 24, 2012).
10. "New US Pacific Commander Concerned About North Korea, China," Voice of America News, October 21, 2009, [www.voanews.com/english/news/a-13-2009-10-21-voa8.html](http://www.voanews.com/english/news/a-13-2009-10-21-voa8.html) (accessed May 3, 2012).
11. US Department of Defense, "41st U.S.-RoK Security Consultative Meeting Joint Communiqué," news release, October 22, 2009, [www.defense.gov/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=13072](http://www.defense.gov/releases/release.aspx?releaseid=13072) (accessed April 30, 2012).
12. Keith Payne, Thomas Scheber, and Kurt Guthe, *U.S. Extended Deterrence and Assurance for Allies in Northeast Asia* (Fairfax, VA: National Institute Press, March 2010), [www.nipp.org/National%20Institute%20Press/Current%20Publications/PDF/US%20Extend-Deter-for%20print.pdf](http://www.nipp.org/National%20Institute%20Press/Current%20Publications/PDF/US%20Extend-Deter-for%20print.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
13. Bret Stephens, "How Many Nukes Does China Have?" *Wall Street Journal*, October 24, 2011, <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052970204346104576639502894496030.html> (accessed May 24, 2012).
14. Phillip A. Karber, "Strategic Implications of China's Underground Great Wall," briefing, September 26, 2011, at [www.fas.org/nuke/guide/china/Karber\\_Underground-Facilities-Full\\_2011\\_reduced.pdf](http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/china/Karber_Underground-Facilities-Full_2011_reduced.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
15. US Department of Defense, *Annual Report to Congress: Military and Security Developments Involving the People's Republic of China* (Washington, DC, 2011), 34, [www.defense.gov/pubs/pdfs/2011\\_cmpr\\_final.pdf](http://www.defense.gov/pubs/pdfs/2011_cmpr_final.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
16. Ron Christman, "China's Second Artillery Corps: Capabilities and Missions for the Near Seas," (presentation, China Maritime Studies Institute Annual Conference at the US Naval War College, Newport, RI, May 2011).

17. *Annual Report to Congress*, 34.
18. US Department of Defense, "Fact Sheet: Increasing Transparency in the U.S. Nuclear Weapons Stockpile" (Washington, DC, May 3, 2010), [www.defense.gov/news/d20100503stockpile.pdf](http://www.defense.gov/news/d20100503stockpile.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
19. Michael Winter, "Pentagon Drafts Plans for Cuts in U.S. Nuclear Arsenal," *USA Today*, February 14, 2012, <http://content.usatoday.com/communities/ondeadline/post/2012/02/ap-obama-weighing-deep-cuts-in-us-nuclear-arsenal/1#.T5q7LtWt21c> (accessed May 1, 2012).
20. US Department of Defense, *Nuclear Posture Review Report* (Washington, DC, April 2012), [www.defense.gov/npr/docs/2010%20nuclear%20posture%20review%20report.pdf](http://www.defense.gov/npr/docs/2010%20nuclear%20posture%20review%20report.pdf) (accessed May 3, 2012).
21. Bill Gertz, "China Unveils New Nuke Missile," *Washington Free Beacon*, March 7, 2012, <http://freebeacon.com/china-unveils-new-nuke-missile/> (accessed May 1, 2012).
22. "China's Second Artillery Corps."
23. Toshi Yoshihara, "Chinese Missile Strategy and the U.S. Naval Presence in Japan: The Operational View from Beijing," *Naval War College Review* 63, no. 3 (Summer 2010): 39–62; David A. Shlapak et al., *A Question of Balance: Political Context and Military Aspects of the China-Taiwan Dispute* (Santa Monica, CA: National Security Research Division, 2009), [www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/2009/RAND\\_MG888.pdf](http://www.rand.org/pubs/monographs/2009/RAND_MG888.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
24. Andrew S. Erickson and David D. Yang, "Chinese Analysts Assess the Potential for Antiship Ballistic Missiles," in *Chinese Aerospace Power: Evolving Maritime Roles*, ed. Andrew S. Erickson and Lyle J. Goldstein (Annapolis, MD: Naval Institute Press, 2011); Andrew S. Erickson and David D. Yang, "Using the Land to Control the Sea? Chinese Analysts Consider the Antiship Ballistic Missile," *Naval War College Review* 62, no. 4 (Autumn 2009): 53–86, [www.public.navy.mil/usff/Documents/Using-the-Land-to-Control-the-Sea-Chinese-Analyst.pdf](http://www.public.navy.mil/usff/Documents/Using-the-Land-to-Control-the-Sea-Chinese-Analyst.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012); Eric Hagt and Matthew Durnin, "China's Antiship Ballistic Missile: Development and Missing Links," *Naval War College Review* 62, no. 4 (Autumn 2009): 87–115, [www.andrew-erickson.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/02/Hagt-Durnin\\_Chinas-ASBM-Developments-and-Missing-Links\\_NWCR\\_2009-Autumn.pdf](http://www.andrew-erickson.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/02/Hagt-Durnin_Chinas-ASBM-Developments-and-Missing-Links_NWCR_2009-Autumn.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
25. Mark Stokes, "China's Evolving Conventional Strategic Strike Capability: The Anti-ship Ballistic Missile Challenge to US Maritime Operations in the Western Pacific and Beyond" (Arlington, VA: Project 2049 Institute, 2009), [http://project2049.net/documents/chinese\\_anti\\_ship\\_ballistic\\_missile\\_asbm.pdf](http://project2049.net/documents/chinese_anti_ship_ballistic_missile_asbm.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
26. *Annual Report to Congress*, 29.
27. "Other nations must take stand on China: Philippines," AFP, April 21, 2012, [www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5j0sinUNXxg\\_irBV1dJkfZLCY9S7g?docId=CNG.9922e0830a95d9799cc6c6a39c06f0ab.141](http://www.google.com/hostednews/afp/article/ALeqM5j0sinUNXxg_irBV1dJkfZLCY9S7g?docId=CNG.9922e0830a95d9799cc6c6a39c06f0ab.141) (accessed May 3, 2012).
28. John J. Mearsheimer, "Imperial by Design," *The National Interest*, January–February 2011, <http://nationalinterest.org/article/imperial-by-design-4576> (accessed May 1, 2012); Barry R. Posen, "The Case for Restraint," *The American Interest*, November/December 2007, [www.the-american-interest.com/article.cfm?piece=331](http://www.the-american-interest.com/article.cfm?piece=331) (accessed May 1, 2012); Stephen M. Walt, "The End of the American Era," *The National Interest*, November/December 2011, <http://nationalinterest.org/article/the-end-the-american-era-6037> (accessed May 1, 2012).
29. Christopher Layne, "The (Almost) Triumph of Off-shore Balancing," *The National Interest*, January 27, 2012, <http://nationalinterest.org/commentary/almost-triumph-offshore-balancing-6405> (accessed May 1, 2012).
30. For a discussion of the subject, see Thomas G. Mahnken, ed., *Competitive Strategies for the Twenty-First Century: Theory, History, and Practice* (Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press, 2012).
31. See the discussion in Thomas G. Mahnken, *Technology and the American Way of War Since 1945* (New York: Columbia University Press, 2008), 163–64.
32. Japanese Ministry of Foreign Affairs, *National Defense Program Guidelines for FY 2011 and Beyond* (Tokyo, 2011), [www.mofa.go.jp/policy/security/pdfs/h23\\_ndpg\\_en.pdf](http://www.mofa.go.jp/policy/security/pdfs/h23_ndpg_en.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).
33. Bruce W. Bennett and Jennifer Lind, "The Collapse of North Korea: Military Missions and Requirements," *International Security* 36, no. 2 (Fall 2011): 84–119.
34. Recent defense budget cuts have, however, called into question the force structure plans outlined in the white paper. See Australian Government Department of Defense, "Defending Australia in the Asia Pacific Century: Force 2030" (Canberra, Australia, 2009), [www.defence.gov.au/whitepaper/docs/defence\\_white\\_paper\\_2009.pdf](http://www.defence.gov.au/whitepaper/docs/defence_white_paper_2009.pdf) (accessed May 4, 2012).

35. Ross Babbage, *Australia's Strategic Edge in 2030* (Canberra: Kokoda Foundation, 2010).

36. US Department of Defense, *Australian Defence Force Posture Review: Initial Assessments against the Review's Terms of Reference*, Attachment C (Washington, DC), [www.defence.gov.au/oscdf/adf-posture-review/docs/interim/AttachC.pdf](http://www.defence.gov.au/oscdf/adf-posture-review/docs/interim/AttachC.pdf) (accessed May 1, 2012).

37. Ibid.

38. For a fuller discussion, see Thomas Donnelly and David Trachtenberg, "Toward a New 'New Look:' U.S. Nuclear Strategy and Forces for the Third Atomic Age," CDS Working Paper (March 2012), [www.aei.org/files/2010/03/01/Toward-a-New-New-Look-final.pdf](http://www.aei.org/files/2010/03/01/Toward-a-New-New-Look-final.pdf).